

### Remarks

In the Restriction Requirement mailed on October 18, 2005, pending claims 1-67 were subject to restriction and election between the following patentably distinct inventions:

Invention I, which is asserted in the Restriction Requirement to be recited in claims 1-15 and 59-67 and directed to a method of selecting a chemical product based on water hardness; and


Invention II, which is asserted in the Restriction Requirement to be recited in claims 16-58 and directed to a method of controlling a utility device with a graphical user interface based on analyzed parameters.

In response to this restriction, Applicant elects Invention II for further prosecution in the present application. Claims 16-58 are therefore elected for examination and claims 1-15 and 59-67 are hereby withdrawn from consideration, but are not canceled. No fees are believed due for the submission of this Reply. However, if this is not the case, please charge any such required fees to Deposit Account No. 13-2725.

Dated: November 18, 2005



Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David D. Wier, Reg. No. 48,229  
Merchant & Gould P.C.  
PO Box 2903  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903  
303.357.1647